



# Weights and Measures: Prediction in the Era of Big Data

## Lev Reyzin UIC, Math Department

## In a world of Big Data...

Google/Yahoo! approaching 1B daily visitors.

B yearly doctor's visits in the US (records digitized).

CERN currently storing > 100 petabytes of data.

The NSA collects.... everything.

# In a world of Big Data...

- Google/Yahoo! approaching 1B daily visitors. What ads to show?
- B yearly doctor's visits in the US (records digitized). How to treat them? (w/o performing too many tests.)
- CERN currently storing > 100 petabytes of data. Which of the events contain an interesting signal?
- The NSA collects.... everything. Who is a terrorist?

Can handle rich / interesting classes of functions.

Should be really fast (linear time, faster?)

Want to leverage all the data. It may not fit on one machine.



Can finally predict accurately.

Must predict quickly! (Or otherwise limited.)

# Weights and Measures

Weighing – keep track of "performance" without needing to remember the history.



Measuring – given the weights, how to properly "measure" them to determine the correct outcome?



Optimal and Efficient Contextual Bandits

"the world is a bandit problem"

with

Alina Beygelzimer, Miro Dudik, Daniel Hsu, Satyen Kale, Nikos Karampatziakis, John Langford, Lihong Li, Rob Schapire, Tong Zhang

- The name bandit refers to slot machines in a casino.
- You choose actions (e.g. which machine to play), one after another. These actions come with rewards.
- Goal is to minimize your regret informally, how well you did compared to how well you could have done.
  - Bound expected regret or bound regret w.h.p.

#### BREAKING U.S. trade representative spokesman: "We have suspended upcoming NEWS bilateral trade and investment engagement with" Russia's government.

#### updated 9:07 PM EST, Mon March 3, 2014

**CNN TRENDS** 

Make CNN Your Homepage

mmm

How confident are you?

Take our assessmen

Ukraine • Severe weather • Oscar Pistorius • China killings • Darren Sharper • Birth defects • Donald Trump • Stephen Colbert • Oscars

#### BREAKING NEWS: CRISIS IN UKRAINE Ukraine: 16,000 Russian troops sent to Crimea



#### U.S.: Threat to **Russia** 'imaginary'

Russia shows no signs of backing down as world leaders threaten sanctions for sending troops into Ukraine, FULL STORY

- NEW: Live blog: CNN on the ground Zakaria: How U.S. should respond
- What can Obama really do?
- West may just have to accept it
- Fierv exchange in Russia debate

#### THE LATEST

- · Girl costs dad \$80K with Facebook post
- Chilling testimony at Pistorius trial
- Vet: Ex-lover secretly gave up baby
- Police: Principal sought sex with child
- Can police be sued for fatal chase?
- · Don't put this close to baby's head
- · Buffett wants \$15/hour minimum wage
- Stocks take a dive | Gold spikes
- These interns get up to \$7,000/mo.
- NEW U.S.-Ukraine soccer match nixed

#### MORE TOP STORIES

- Government shut down. You notice?
- Million winter flights delayed, canceled
- Hidden number key to Obamacare
- · He's world's richest billionaire, again
- NYC mayor breaks rules he made
- Obama disarms a heckler 🕒
- 'SNL' mocks Shag and Barkley
- Expert: Millennials won't accept 'no' 'E Could school look like this every day?
- 12 grammar and spelling failures
- Where war photography was born Time
- Revolutionaries with fake red noses





THE ACADEMY AWARDS

minutes



date: Mom



Lupita Nyong'o's beautiful speech

#### **READ THIS, WATCH THAT**

the red carpet



Redford: Why TV is replacing film



the winner is ....





NEW DAY





#### **Piers Morgan Live** 9pm ET / 6pm PT

Full Schedules: CNN TV · HLN TV

Unpredictable, Lively, Challenging, Fun, Home of The Piers Morgan Interview

COULD 'BLADE RUNNER' GO FREE?

**O'REILLY**\*

John Myles White

5 things you can't stop talking about Jennifer Lawrence falls ... again

club?



WEATHER

Shows and Schedules

LIVE TV

🗖 Watch 🛈

GO

10-day



6-9am ET Only on CNN









#### **Regretting the dead**

Tags: Exploration, Machine Learning, Online — jl@ 9:31 pm

<u>Nikos</u> pointed out this <u>new york times</u> article about <u>poor clinical design killing people</u>. For those of us who study learning from exploration information this is a reminder that low regret algorithms are particularly important, as regret in clinical trials is measured by patient deaths.

The New York Times

### Research

New Drugs Stir Debate on Rules of Clinical Trials



Monica Almeida/The New York Times, left

Two Cousins, Two Paths Thomas McLaughlin, left, was given a promising experimental drug to treat his lethal skin cancer in a medical trial; Brandon Ryan had to go without it.



N experts/function think of N >> K











# Contextual Bandits [Auer et al. '02] 16



The experts can be prese (contextual) or not.











harder than supervised (usual) learning: In the bandit setting, we do not know the rewards of actions not taken.

many applications: Medicine, ad auctions, finance, ...

 exploration/exploitation dilemma: exploit policies you've learned to be good? explore policies you're not sure about?

- $\Omega(TK \ln N)^{1/2}$  is a known lower bound on regret [Auer et al. '02] even in the stochastic setting.
- Algorithms achieving Õ(KT polylog N)<sup>1/2</sup> regret are said to be optimal.
- greedily first exploring (acting randomly) then exploiting (following best policy) cannot be optimal. Optimal algorithms must be adaptive.



#### At every time step:

1) choose action with highest UCB

2) update confidence bound of the arm pulled.

### EXP3 Exponential Weights [Auer et al '02]



#### At every time step:

 sample from distribution defined by weights (mixed w/ uniform)
"exponential" weight updates

# UCB vs EXP3: A Comparison

UCB [Auer '02]

## Pros

Optimal in stochastic setting.

Succeeds w.h.p.

## Cons

Fails in adversarial setting. Not optimal in the contextual setting. EXP3 & Friends [Auer et al. '02]



Optimal for adversarial and stochastic settings. Adaptable to the

contextual setting

## Cons

Succeeds only in expectation.

| Algorithm                          | Optimal? | High Prob? | Context? |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Exp4 [ACFS '02]                    | Yes      | No         | Yes      |
| epoch-geedy<br>[LZ '07]            | No       | Yes        | Yes      |
| Exp3.P[ACFS '02]<br>UCB [Auer '00] | Yes      | Yes        | No       |

| Algorithm                          | Optimal? | High Prob? | Context? |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Exp4 [ACFS '02]                    | Yes      | No         | Yes      |
| epoch-geedy<br>[LZ '07]            | No       | Yes        | Yes      |
| Exp3.P[ACFS '02]<br>UCB [Auer '00] | Yes      | Yes        | No       |
| Exp4.P [BLL <b>R</b> S '11]        | Yes      | Yes        | Yes      |



## [Beygelzimer-Langford-Li-R-Schapire '11]

### Main Theorem [BLLRS '11]: For any $\delta > 0$ , w.p. at least 1- $\delta$ , EXP4P has regret at most O(KT In (N/ $\delta$ ))<sup>1/2</sup> in adversarial contextual bandit setting.

### Combines advantages of Exponential Weights and UCB.

- Optimal for both the stochastic and adversarial settings
- Works for contextual case (also non-contextual case)
- A high probability result

## Ideas Behind Exp4.P (all appeared in previous algorithms)

### exponential weights

keep a weight on each expert that drops exponentially in the expert's (estimated) performance

### upper confidence bounds

adds an upper confidence bound on each expert's estimated reward

### ensuring exploration

make sure each action is taken with some minimum probability

### importance sampling

give rare events more importance to keep estimates unbiased

# Key Insights

key insights (on top of UCB/ EXP)

1) exponential weights and confidence bounds "stack"

2) generalized Bernstein's inequality for martingales



| Algorithm                        | Optimal? | High<br>Prob? | Context? | Efficient? |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|
| Exp4<br>[ACFS '02]               | Yes      | No            | Yes      | No         |
| epoch-geedy<br>[LZ '07]          | No       | Yes           | Yes      | Yes        |
| Exp3.P [ACFS '02]<br>UCB [A '00] | Yes      | Yes           | No       | Yes        |
| Exp4.P<br>[BLL <b>R</b> S '10]   | Yes      | Yes           | Yes      | Νο         |



5 Putin orders troops in military exercise back to haea

27



Reuters

## Evaluation

- We chose a policy class for which we could efficiently keep track of the weights.
  - Created 5 clusters, using user features.
  - Policies mapped clusters to article choices.
  - Ran on personalized news article recommendations for Yahoo! front page.
- We used a learning bucket on which we ran the algorithms and a deployment bucket on which we ran the greedy (best) learned policy.

Experiments

Reported estimated (normalized) click-through rates on front page news. Over 41M user visits. 253 total articles. 21 candidate articles per visit.

|                    | EXP4P  | EXP4   | ε-greedy |
|--------------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Learning<br>eCTR   | 1.0525 | 1.0988 | 1.3829   |
| Deployment<br>eCTR | 1.6512 | 1.5309 | 1.4290   |

Why does this work in practice? [McMahan '11]

## A General Efficient Algorithm? [DHKKL**R**Z '11]

EXP4.P's regret grows only logarithmically with N.

this suggests

We could compete with a huge set of policies! (e.g. N=K<sup>100</sup> becomes 10 log<sup>1/2</sup> K in the regret)

however

Exp4.P explicitly "keeps track" of all policies. Reading in all recommendations, for large N, would take too long.

Reduce to Supervised Learning! (Idea from [Langford-Zhang '07])

31

- "Competing" with an exponentially large set of policies is commonplace in supervised learning.
- Recommendations of the policies/functions don't need to be explicitly read when the policy class has structure!



Reduce to Supervised Learning! (Idea from [Langford-Zhang '07])

32

- "Competing" with an exponentially large set of policies is commonplace in supervised learning.
- Recommendations of the policies/functions don't need to be explicitly read when the policy class has structure!









yes





2







N experts/functions





Thm: [Dudik-Hsu-Kale-Karampatziakis-Langford-R-Zhang '11]: For any  $\delta > 0$ , w.p. at least 1- $\delta$ , given access to a learning oracle, R-UCB has regret O((KT In (NT/ $\delta$ ))<sup>1/2</sup> in the stochastic contextual bandit setting and runs in time poly(K,T, In N).
Thm: [Dudik-Hsu-Kale-Karampatziakis-Langford-R-Zhang '11]: For any  $\delta > 0$ , w.p. at least 1- $\delta$ , given access to a learning oracle, R-UCB has regret O((KT In (NT/ $\delta$ ))<sup>1/2</sup> in the stochastic contextual bandit setting and runs in time poly(K,T, In N).

if arms chosen among only "good" policies w/ variance < 2K, we win can prove this exists via a minimax theorem

37

this can be softened to occasionally allow choosing of bad policies via "randomized" upper confidence bounds

creates a problem of how to choose arms as to satisfy the constraints expressed as convex optimization problem

solvable by ellipsoid algorithm

can implement a separation oracle with the supervised learning oracle





### A research goal of mine: make it work in <u>adversarial</u> model.

# Applying to Public Health

#### UIC SCHOOL OF UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO PUBLIC HEALTH

About SPH Academics Admissions Departments

Research Announcements

Seed Funding Competition

Annual Research and Practice Awards Day

New Awards

Getting To Know UIC SPH Researchers

Faculty Research Interests

SPH Proposal Development

Community Connections

Current Sponsored Activities

Centers Institutes & Programs

Traineeships & Fellowships

Contact Office of Research Services

#### Research / Centers Institutes & Programs / Community Outreach Intervention Projects

#### ABOUT COIP

The Community Outreach Intervention Projects ("COIP"), School of Public Health, University of Illinois at Chicago was founded in 1986 to address HIV/AIDS, particularly among people who use drugs. COIP operates from storefront sites in Austin, Humboldt Park, West Englewood, South Chicago, and Uptown. Other neighborhoods are served by COIP's motorhome and mobile van units. COIP's interventions are known for their use of the Indigenous Leader Outreach Model, which employs former drug users to deliver services and assist in conducting research.

COIP's services include street outreach, counseling and testing for HIV, syphilis and other infectious diseases associated with substance use, case management for people who are HIV positive, syringe exchange, drug abuse and risk reduction counseling, support groups, educational activities, and a program that enhances linkages to care for HIV positive women exiting jail. COIP also makes many referrals to other providers such as drug treatment programs. Through a collaboration with UIC's Community Clinic Network, all COIP's storefront sites provide free medical, mental health and pharmacy care for people living with HIV, and one site offers free dental care.

COIP also conducts research to better understand HIV/AIDS in Chicago communities. Recent studies have examined or

Consortium for Modeling and Analysis of Treatments and Interventions C-mati.org



Search GO

Research

# **Distributed Learning** "the unreasonable effectiveness of data"

with Jeff Cooper





# Distributing AdaBoost

### What is boosting?

- AdaBoost [Freund-Schapire '97] combines "weak" predictors into a strong predictor.
- ♦ Weak predictors are easy to design → lets us make strong predictors by "magic"!

### Why distribute boosting?

- Probably the best "off the shelf" algorithm.
- Lends itself to being "distributed" but no adequate solution had been found.
- Is a weigh + measure approach!

### AdaBoost in Pictures (Slides from Schapire)

Toy Example



weak classifiers = vertical or horizontal half-planes





 $\epsilon_1 = 0.30$  $\alpha_1 = 0.42$ 





 $\epsilon_3 = 0.14$  $\alpha_3 = 0.92$ 



# Past Work on Distributed Ensembles 50

Bagging [Breiman '96], iVoting [Breiman '99], etc.

- Upside: easy to distribute for large data [Basilico et al. '11]
- Downside: not true "boosting" algorithms and do not reach the error rates of AdaBoost
- Boosting in Distributed PAC Model [Balcan et al. '12]
   A harder model where communication complexity was studied.
- Filterboost [Bradley-Schapire '01], etc.
- "The Distributed Boosting Alg." [Lazarevic-Obradovic '01]
  - Upside: Best-yet practical distributed boosting algorithm
  - Downside: unable to reach AdaBoost error rates, uses a lot of communication.

## The Distributed Boosting Algorithm [Lazarevic-Obradovic '01]

51

- Data is split among K machines. The machines "boost" in parallel.
- On each round of boosting:
  - 1. Each machine sends its weak learner to all other machines.
  - 2. Each machine computes and sends the local error rate of the "majority learner" to all other machines.
  - 3. All machines update their local weights based on this info.
- At the end, every machine has full predictor.

# DistBoost vs AdaBoost



**DistBoost** vs AdaBoost (if all data fit on one machine)

The Distributed Boosting Algorithm [Lazarevic-Obradovic '01]

53

### Drawbacks:

- 1. Uses lots of communication.
- Unable to recover full accuracy of boosting.
   Why? Each site overspecializes to its own data.
- Two different fixes [Cooper-R '14]:
  - 1. PreWeak: Smartly restrict weak learner selection.
  - 2. AdaSampling: Each machine selects its most informative data to send to a central processor.

# Adaptive Sampling [Cooper-R '14]

 Main Idea: each machine uses AdaBoost to figure out which examples are "most informative"

- Not always "hardest examples" these could be noise.
- Touches on margins theory

 These examples are sent to main processor, which boosts just on them.

Rationale based on a game-theoretic view of boosting.

# **Empirical Results**



## A Big-Data Example (Over 1M clicks/non-clicks)

#### Error rates on Yahoo! click data.

|                | Stumps | Depth 3 |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| AdaBoost       | 36.48  | 35.02   |
| DistBoost      | 37.23  | 35.54   |
| DIvote         | 40.35  | 37.36   |
| PreWeak        | 36.29  | 35.58   |
| AdaSampling    | 37.14  | 35.36   |
| AdaBoost(1/10) | 36.76  | 35.68   |

# Feature-Efficient Prediction

with Yi Huang, Brian Powers

# Feature-Efficient Prediction Examples

### Medical testing

Want to predict what patients are sick with, but tests might be expensive or dangerous.

### Displaying internet results

Want to give users the best results you can, but if you don't give results within 300 milliseconds, users will leave.

# Model

- Goal is to do supervised learning, using a limited number of features in test-time.
  - Given a budget on total cost: on each example, the learner must look at no more features than allowed by the budget.
  - Each feature has an associated cost.
  - Budget only limited in test data, not training.

Predictors that do this are feature-efficient.

# Lots of work on this problem

- Sequential analysis: when to stop sequential clinical trials. [Wald '47] and [Chernoff '72]
- PAC learning with incomplete features. [Ben-David-Dichterman '93] and [Greiner et al. '02]
- Robust prediction with missing features. [Globerson-Roweis '06]
- Learning linear functions by few features [Cesa-Bianchi et al. '10]
- Incorporating feature costs in CART impurity [Xu et al. '12]
- MDPs for feature selection [He et al. '13]

# A "Weigh + Measure" Idea [R '11]

An ensemble is usually a weighted vote of many simple rules.

The simple rules are usually feature-efficient.

Take a vote of only a few of the rules.

# AdaBoostRS [R '11]

Training: train AdaBoost (or any ensemble).

Prediction:

- 1. Sample the weak learners depending on their voting weights and feature costs.
- 2. Take a importance-weighted vote of the sampled weak learners.

Intuition:

If ensemble has strong preference, sampling will converge fast. If ensemble is split, who cares? (Thm resembles margin bound [Schapire et al. '98])

# Experiments with AdaBoostRS



On ocr17 dataset. x-axis is number of samples taken.

# Room for Improvement

Can we improve by moving the optimization into training?

Turns out: yes, by a lot! [Huang-Powers-R '14]

Naïve idea: train AdaBoost until budget runs out

Improvement: choose weak learners more wisely

### AdaBoost (S ) where: $S \subset X imes \{-1, +1\}$

1: given: 
$$(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_m, y_m) \in S$$
  
2: initialize  $D_1(i) = \frac{1}{m}$   
3: for  $t = 1, ..., T$  do  
4: train base learner using distribution  $D_t$ .  
5: get  $h_t \in \mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ .

6: choose 
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\gamma_t}{1-\gamma_t}$$
, where  $\gamma_t = \sum_i D_t(i) y_i h_t(x_i)$ .

7: update 
$$D_{t+1}(i) = D_t(i) \exp(\alpha_t y_i h_t(x_i))/Z_t$$
,

8: end for

9: output the final classifier  $H(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x)\right)$ 

# AdaBoostBT(S,B,C) where: $S \subset X \times \{-1,+1\}, B > 0, C : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

1: given: 
$$(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_m, y_m) \in S$$

2: initialize 
$$D_1(i) = \frac{1}{m}, B_1 = B$$

3: for 
$$t = 1, ..., T$$
 do

4: train base learner using distribution 
$$D_t$$
.

5: get 
$$h_t \in \mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$$

6: **if** the total cost of the unpaid features of  $h_t$  exceeds  $B_t$ **then** 

7: set 
$$T = t - 1$$
 and end for

- 8: else set  $B_{t+1}$  as  $B_t$  minus the total cost of the unpaid features of  $h_t$ , marking them as paid
- 9: choose  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\gamma_t}{1-\gamma_t}$ , where  $\gamma_t = \sum_i D_t(i) y_i h_t(x_i)$ .
- 10: update  $D_{t+1}(i) = D_t(i) \exp(\alpha_t y_i h_t(x_i))/Z_t$ ,
- 11: end for
- 12: output the final classifier  $H(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x)\right)$

Training error of AdaBoost is bounded by [Freund & Schapire '97]

$$\hat{\Pr}[H(x) \neq y] \leq \prod_{t=1}^{T} \sqrt{1-\gamma_t^2}$$

With budgets, we need to consider two effects:
high edges make individual terms smaller
low costs allow for more terms in the product

# Two Optimizations [Huang-Powers-R '14]

First idea: assume all future rounds will behave like current. Leads to optimization

1) 
$$h_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left( (1 - \gamma_t(h)^2)^{\frac{1}{c(h)}} \right)$$

Second idea: smoothed version of first.

<sup>2)</sup> 
$$h_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left( \left( 1 - \gamma_t(h)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{(B-B_t)+c(h)}} \right)$$

# Experiments with costs ~ U(0,2)



# Discussion

Summary

 Gave first optimal and (theoretically) efficient algorithm for contextual bandits by reducing to supervised learning.

Gave a distributed ensemble algorithm with empirical performance matching boosting.

Showed how to make any ensemble algorithm "feature efficient".

Observations

Progress occurred in stages – eg. contextual algorithm was first made optimal but inefficient, then theoretically efficient, then practical (by others).

All these problems originate from practical concerns.

 Each of these areas heavily used theory, but included practical elements, verified on large data.
 E.g. distributed boosting's taking care of noisy examples.


- A practical, optimal contextual bandit algorithm that works in adversarial setting.
- Distributed and feature-efficient contextual bandits. (Reduction to supervised learning doesn't preserve these properties.)
- Better models for trading off error / prediction time.
- Many others...

## The End

## Thank you! Any Questions?